Examiners Report Jan 2009 – 2b(ii) 40 mark question.

Question 2b(ii) also produced a range of responses. Very few relied primarily on contextual knowledge, and most made good use of the sources to explain the difficulties of implementing any restriction of outdoor relief. Weaker candidates tended to describe both problems and conflicting evidence, but many were able to develop the arguments to explain why the policy of ending it was unsuccessful. There was good understanding of geographical conditions and, in particular, the role of trade fluctuations in the urban north in causing the practice to survive. Some candidates were able to support these arguments with accurate examples of local variation, but weaker candidates tended to rely on generalisations. The best responses analysed the sources in depth and drew on wider knowledge to assess the progress made in limiting outdoor relief, referring to local examples, the intermittent issuing of circulars and the role of the commissioners to show that implementation was not impossible, but was difficult and always variable. Only a few developed this into an overall judgement to reach L4.

Examiners Report Jan 2009 - 2b(ii) 40 mark question

Question 2b(ii) also produced a range of responses. Very few relied primarily on contextual knowledge, and most made good use of the sources to explain the difficulties of implementing any restriction of outdoor relief. Weaker candidates tended to describe both problems and conflicting evidence, but many were able to develop the arguments to explain why the policy of ending it was unsuccessful. There was good understanding of geographical conditions and, in particular, the role of trade fluctuations in the urban north in causing the practice to survive. Some candidates were able to support these arguments with accurate examples of local variation, but weaker candidates tended to rely on generalisations. The best responses analysed the sources in depth and drew on wider knowledge to assess the progress made in limiting outdoor relief, referring to local examples, the intermittent issuing of circulars and the role of the commissioners to show that implementation was not impossible, but was difficult and always variable. Only a few developed this into an overall judgement to reach L4.