



Examiners' Report June 2010

GCSE History 6HI02 B



Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London WC1V 7BH A PEARSON COMPANY

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <u>www.edexcel.com</u>. If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated History telephone line: 0844 576 0034.

ResultsPlus

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online tool that offers teachers unrivalled insight into exam performance.

You can use this valuable service to see how your students performed according to a range of criteria - at cohort, class or individual student level.

- Question-by-question exam analysis
- Skills maps linking exam performance back to areas of the specification
- Downloadable exam papers, mark schemes and examiner reports
- Comparisons to national performance

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>.

To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2010

Publications Code US024078

All the material in this publication is copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Edexcel Ltd 2010

Introduction

Within this option, a significant number of candidates were able to achieve marks at Level 3 or above in all assessment objectives, offering a considered analysis of the given source evidence, focused towards the demands of the questions, allied to strong contextual understanding and with effective deployment of well-selected own knowledge. It was pleasing to see that many of the issues raised in previous sessions which had hampered candidate performance were reduced, with skills in handling evidence generally being demonstrated in relation to the specific issues raised. That said, certain common errors were apparent, in some cases, where candidates appeared to be attempting to apply source skills in a manner not appropriate to the questions. Therefore, this report attempts to set out certain areas in which future responses could be improved, whilst also illustrating different levels of response across the various questions.

Question 1(a)

The sources were accessible and there were some very good responses to this question. Most candidates grasped the political aims identified in the sources and better candidates discerned the socio-economic aims and were able to cross reference these differing aims by reference to both content and provenance. Not all candidates were able to fully access source 3, misinterpreting parts of his comments. Many candidates, quite appropriately, used own knowledge to contextualise the provenance and were able to form a conclusion on the distinction between leaders and masses. However, it would be helpful for candidates to also fully mine the provenance to draw out its implications. It was a rare candidate, for example, who commented on the title of source 1 - "The Rotten House of Commons", which could clearly be used to comment on the political aims of Chartism. It is disappointing to note that a significant number of candidates are still working through the sources in turn, re-stating the provenance, paraphrasing the content and treating the sources as information rather than evidence. Some candidates brought in their own knowledge about the six points of the People's Charter and Chartism in general; this cannot be credited.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking the box \boxtimes . If you change your mind, put a line through the box \bigotimes and then indicate your new question with a cross \boxtimes .							
Chosen Question Number:							
Question 1 🛛 Question 2 🖂							
a) during the cime of the charist movement three							
was great pointion arest throughout english, the							
Vosing was corrupt and easily won through blockmin							
und externing while the Franchise was poorly represent							
and the Fear I POMy distribution anothold constituency i							
pusur							
source is from an article bubblished by the							
London working were association this tell' is there							
the source is likely to be biased against the							
upper uses dases as the London working							
ner's association works minery consisted of working							
any men, who at this point wanter equal representation							
in the franchise. In this source it is descriptions							
My would be more suitauge to the up House of							
common's and war describing that it is the persus							
house this was became of the new For earnan							
pppercasion of the working class while would							
be request because the apper days bethingen mus							
The working curst were interne and not positically							
aware to earn the right of representation							
Manaza Randan Manaza							

((a) continued) Source 2 5 on exercise from a book Publiner to 1840 by winner Lovert, while was one for the dates of Chartism and John Louins, Because of was party writen by writing cover we can expect this partace to be critical about the charlist i methods and air i - It descrubes how the peoples Charger " Secures where fair Share of portician pover to an dayses of society , while showing how one or one aim i or the Chartist movement was politician equality. But it also described the contribut within the party over an how the Charrist, were goting to armine the goal (... This proved to be a weakerear as they people would think that the charting were morganized and and therefore Mor consider their portition scalles seriousy. This also gove Cardisma the working dastes reputation who mus wanter eallaning with the other ansses.

4

((a) continued) source 3 is an extract from the Journal of General Sin charles Napier, written in 1834 and Muslimen in 1857. It descripes the amount of hesiteration for perform near that where anothe to feed themserves of other their famility, Because the perinting writing by charrist, were rejevan this Couldn't range bein pass any law 'S and as a rown where changed using the return & act's where very few is any of the chardist's clean were conjuered. It is and important to conjuer shows Churces Maring way sent to contarer type which augen by charrist 3. So is but where he had a high view of the chartist's , and as a result this. extract can be seen as blased, this extract also top Sring's to upper here the charting morement touch as could or hinderen there it helpen the Cause for envolving amongst the portilican parenys. Sources 1 and 2 die hur support the chaim that the Charrist Movement was did have aimis toward politicale ealering by Sudgesting representation of the working class in the franchise. Strucce on the other haped show is how the Charrist

History 6HI02

	((a) continued) movement might have been harding the nerteing chass back instead.
10	



This illustrates the source by source approach which is often characteristic of a level 2 response. The candidate begins with an introduction which makes some basic points that are derived from own knowledge rather than the sources. The response then works through each source in turn, sometimes attempting to make brief links to the question although there is often paraphrasing that is not linked to the question. There are also some brief and rather simplistic comments on provenance. There is a very brief attempt at cross referencing in the conclusion but this is not convincingly linked to the question focus.

Question 1(b) (i)

This question was the more popular response. Some candidates misunderstood "conservative" and wrote instead about Robert Peel and the Conservative Party. Some candidates struggled to address the issues of "limited" and "conservative" separately. Most candidates comprehended the suggestion from the sources that one aim of the Reform Act was to divert revolution. Not all were able to develop this point and link it to the question focus. Indeed, some candidates used it as a springboard to discuss the reasons for the Reform Act. There were also some very effective answers. These looked at the case for the Act being limited and conservative, and then countered with the case against. The sources in these answers were well integrated with own knowledge. The best answers were detailed, analytical answers, driven forward by the sources. A minority of answers relied on substantial paraphrasing of the sources, with little evidence of own knowledge. It is important that candidates support their interpretations by reference to their own knowledge.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question. resorm act was initially The 1832 ndieal Buld hill that INtended System, however this idea was Create on Carval Grey, who is the act based that Saying ed O cuisting property sunchises, So as to preserve the existing System, and was turned into a i discusing the possibility of a revolution Source 4 by a whig MP is a speech made to the House of Commons in 1851, in suggest of the bill However he says it is because I an opposed to Universal Sayceroge' that he Supports i ike as Grei and many other whigs and radical aries he sees it as the 'best scenity against KIN idea was that by Working together, the m orid cause and working asspa to the one in & France Soo a sen Se Similar year before So by engineenising the respectable niddle class they could split the ti is and prevent revolution Source 3 also agrees that the purpose of 1832 Act as well as the 1846 repea the corn laws, were ingoit plans by the

8

((b) continued) A aristocracy to preserve their power and to prevent a revolt. The source which was published in 1953 Suggests that the landed interest that continued to control the commons had no hostillity burgeds its leaders in the Lords. The Lords essentially appointed the commons and by using both together power could be maintained. The two regorm actand repeal of the Com Laws would appear to damage the upper classes, yet the source says 'neither surrender cost the Lords as a house or the Aresberrey as a class, one particle of their real power' because by appearing the working class they were ableto divid revolution.

Source & which is from a history textbook published in 1990 States that 'the 1852 regorm at degused a major political Crisis, as was its intertion' and that it was 'an essentially non-democratic region . The Source agrees with 4 and 5 that the act was Clouerly Set up and disquised as a literal bill that would create a fairer system but in reality was watered down and very constructure So that power could be maintained, and in reallity the eeu working class who could

History 6HI02

((b) continued) vote due to pot- walloper clauses etc were actually discrepanchised by the act and Ps Tine tion



This candidate uses virtually no own knowledge in this response. Each source is taken in turn and the content is paraphrased with only limited links being made to the question. It is only at the very end that there is a touch of own knowledge and some limited attempt is made to engage the question's focus. This is level 2 in both assessment objectives. There is little sense of an argument here.

Question 1(b) (ii)



This was the less popular alternative. The sources were accessible and gave rise to balanced arguments, although some candidates misread the provenance of source 7, believing the letters to be written by Disraeli to Derby; this clearly undermined the ability of candidates to make valid points. A minority of answers relied on substantial paraphrasing of the sources, with little evidence of own knowledge. It is important that candidates support their interpretations by reference to their own knowledge. Better responses focused on the relative significance of Disraeli compared to Derby, Gladstone and outside pressure for reform. Some responses spent a considerable part of the answer in formulaic or routine evaluation of provenance. Candidates need to be aware that it is AO2b that is tested in this section of the paper; provenance need only be assessed where it helps to weigh up the quality of the evidence in relation to the claim under investigation.

Question 2(a)

Most candidates were able to understand and write about the surface features of the sources. It is disappointing to note that a significant number of candidates are still working through the sources in turn, re-stating the provenance, paraphrasing the content and treating the sources as information rather than evidence. Some candidates also brought in their own knowledge both for the reasons for public health reform, and the terms of public health legislation. This cannot be credited for this assessment objective. The best responses were able to weigh the evidence and base their conclusions on the sources value and content. They developed the subtle points about opposition from vested interests in source 1 and the issue of cost in source 2 as well as demonstrating an understanding of the timescale derived from the provenance. However, many candidates had some difficulty in assessing the value of the sources. There were many general comments such as 'source one is from a newspaper therefore it is biased.'

Indicate which question you are answering by marking the box 🛛 . If you change your mind, put a line through the box 🔀 and then indicate your new question with a cross 🖾 .
Chosen Question Number:
Question 1 🖾 Question 2 🕅
(a) Sources 10, 11 and 12 all discuss the problems
of public health in Leeds and indeed put forth
different lopproon opinions on reasons for the delay in
inprovenent.
Source 10 certainly agrees with the
Statement that 'the Way Council lacked the
power as the edutorial from the Leads Intelligencer
Wuntly puts " Parliament has not yet given the
Council the authority." However it caile be
argued here then that surely some of the blame
should lie with Parliament? It is not the Council's
fault they lack the power and therefore perhaps
IF they were to have such power improvements would
have been made. Source 12 appears to support
and sympathics with this view, however
despite actrowledging the Councils lack of power
clearly voice a perhaps obvious solution:
"they can readily dotain them by a new
Improvement Act, as the Corporation of Bradford has
recently done." Therefore James Hole in Source 12
highlights perhaps the idleness of Leed's council
and shifts some of the blame from "lack of
power" to their simple inactiveness. Why don't

((a) continued) they have the authority when Bradford has acheived it readily Source 11 is from an Act for "clearing, sewering and improving... Leeds." and one would therefore assume it agreed with source 12's survey results that "the sanctary state of Leeds is far from satisfactory." However despite having the lawfulness' to improve the subvation the Act contains very vague instructions "from time to time." This does not exemplify a clear desire or chance of improvement. Despite the improvements needed being specifically noted and layed down those realing the Act appear not to realise its importance and therefore purhaps this apparent for to incur improvements has little significance and indeed contributes to such delay of improving Leeds. However despite source It's slow, indeed highlight the needs of Leeds; "all or any of the streets ... to be cleansed

seemingly non-compulsory instructions it does and watered and the durt, ashes and rubbish to be removed." Perhaps this supports the idea that the Council is too Idame, as in 1963 when The Working Classes of Leads' is

((a) continued) published situation is 5411 the 1X actors. **bold** Эeu o need d From time iberties L Arc of who is to blame IC onlu 6 not 15 00 Or.

ResultsPlus

Examiner Comments

This is not a perfect response, but it does start to engage in a number of the skills that are required in question a. There is an attempt to cross reference some of the arguments; it picks up on some aspects of the provenance, notably the issue of dating; it makes links to the question; it is aware of other explanations offered. On the critical front, it could be said, it needs more range of cross referencing; provenance is sometimes merely stated and not developed in relation to the issues; there could be more links to the question; there are other explanations in the sources that are not mentioned. However, candidates have about half an hour to write this response, so it is not necessarily possible to include everything in an answer. This response is sufficient for level 3.

Question 2(b) (i)

Answers were fairly evenly divided between the two options. The sources were mostly well handled in this response with generally good understanding of the continuation elements of the question such as the continuity of outdoor relief in the North. However, fewer candidates successfully argued about elements of change in Poor Law provision although there were some effective responses where candidates pointed out, for example, that whilst there had been workhouses before 1834, their ideological purpose had been quite different. Some answers did move to a description of workhouse conditions with little sense of period. Indeed, many candidates found it hard to engage with the chronology of this question, often starting with the Poor Law Amendment Act and not moving beyond the 1840s. Candidates should be encouraged to read the question carefully and ensure that they deal with its chronological parameters. A minority of answers relied on substantial paraphrasing of the sources, with little evidence of own knowledge. It is important that candidates support their interpretations by reference to their own knowledge. Some responses spent a considerable part of the answer in formulaic or routine evaluation of provenance. Candidates need to be aware that it is AO2b that is tested in this section of the paper; provenance need only be assessed where it helps to weigh up the quality of the evidence in relation to the claim under investigation.

Answer EITHER part (b)(i) OR (b)(ii) of your chosen question. Between 1830 and 1875, the system of poor relief appeared to charge dramatically - However, throughout the muliple acts and changes put i place by government, it is obvious that there non parallels between the implimentation of the poor law before the poor law armondment act in 1834 and apteniard. Source 13 on the surface, would seen to suggest a strong cornelation with the idea gytheir being little change after the poor low annendment Act. The Source tells of 'workhouses were in existance long before' in addition with the notion of people dying or being Som i workhouses. On the Surface this would seen very similar to the 1836 act which put great enphasis onto the se usage of workhaves to dater the poor. Harrover, there workhouses had a different rature, porfourses were reserved saley for the elderly and it was Still vory uncommon yor a pauper to remain in a workhouse his entire lije. The principal of loss eligibility was not in place and so also, the designs and functions of workhouses were not so regimented. Also, other panishes had no userknouse at all', there was much local variation in the administration of poor relief. This meant that while in some areas, the Act of 1834 second relatively similar to that they

((b) continued) already had in place, in others it was Charactersed by a great deal of charge This user is supported in Source 14, where the is said to be intended to ' radically reform 1834 Act the system '. However, further on in the Source it becomes apparent that this is les in practice and more in Jocus; "Cost effective and efficient". This Source States also that (it grouped panishes into unions', this was orden to reduce cost of building workhouses. However, the idea of creating parish unions, although not applicable everywhere, was prevalent in places with large numbers of paupers. The Gilbert Act of 1776 allowed parishes to group together to create poorhouses, this chans parallels with the TSE 1882 unions on all but are paint. The driving force behind the Centralisation of the poor laws, was the resing cost of poor relief, as a result of the population LACTERSO This centralisation was entirely new, parones had always took charge of their paupers and the old poor laws had not changed Since 1801

The issue of the costing of par relief as a motivation Behind shows a motivation to less change and more adapt the poor laws in the Act of 1834. Source 15 states that the fast of poor cutdoor relief is so great', changes made widespressed to the laws, Such as "poor law unions and centralisation can be seen to be using previous methods of caping

((b) continued) With the problem of porenty, on a wider and more efficient scale. On the other hand, Source 15 can be seen as depicting the 1834 Actas ye, not recossarily in mothod one attetudes, wanting to give a instine Sarro - is thought was lost. This Dource, taken from which 1871, a considerable number of years after the act, does not Lowever Seven to Suggest that changes were successful in their goal. The Similarties parallels between the old poor laws and the 1834 and Laws appear to have findered the intended Pagy Changes as opposed to helping it. To conclude, the new that between 1830-1875 poor relief in England was characterised more continuity than Change . Chang yron the attendes and the Shift n Joeus of principal of less eligibelity and Room the poor. However, 1 do Behav parallels can be drawn throughout this to show 1. the practice change period

Results^Plus

Examiner Comments

This candidate works through the sources one by one, but shows quite a strong understanding of the demands of the question and makes secure comments that link the material to the question. There is also some sound own knowledge integrated with the use of sources. This is a sound level 3 response that with more effective planning of the material could have developed a more distinctly analytical approach and hence have possibly accessed level 4.

19

Question 2(b) (ii)

ResultsPlus Examiner Comments

Answers were fairly evenly divided between the two options. Many candidates were able to produce effective answers to this question. Candidates were able to integrate sources and some impressive own knowledge to develop clear arguments. The best responses focused on the impact of democracy on public health, focusing on the information in all three sources for support and pointing out the connection between working class voters and the need to win their vote. These arguments were then juxtaposed with other factors such as outbreaks of diseases such as cholera (source 18), the role of individuals and scientific developments. Weaker candidates struggled with the concept of "the growth of democracy" and the meaning of source 17, and concentrated on other factors with which they clearly felt more confident.

Grade	Max. Mark	А	В	C	D	E
Raw boundary mark	60	42	37	32	27	23
Uniform boundary mark	100	80	70	60	50	40

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481 Email <u>publications@linneydirect.com</u> Order Code US024078 June 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com/quals

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750 Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government

